

(a) Lemma: (Abel summation by parts, Exercise 7).

$$\sum_{k=1}^n a_k b_k = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} S_k (b_k - b_{k+1}) + S_n b_n$$

where $S_k = \sum_{l=1}^k a_l$.

Using summation by parts we find that

$$\sum_{n=1}^N C_n r^n = (1-r) \sum_{n=1}^N S_n r^n + S_N r^{N+1}$$

Without loss of generality we may assume $\sum_{n=1}^N C_n = S_N \rightarrow S = 0$,
or we replace S_N by $S_N - S$.

Letting $N \rightarrow \infty$, $\sum_{n=1}^N C_n r^n = (1-r) \sum_{n=1}^N S_n r^n + S_N r^{N+1}$

$$\rightarrow (1-r) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n r^n$$

Since $S_n \rightarrow 0$, there exists a k such that when $n \geq k+1$, $S_n \leq 1-r$

$$\begin{aligned} (1-r) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n r^n &\leq (1-r) \left(\sum_{n=1}^k S_n r^n + \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} S_n r^n \right) \\ &= (1-r)(A + r^{k+1}) \end{aligned}$$

Letting $r \rightarrow 1$, $(1-r) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n r^n \rightarrow 0$.

(b) There exist series which are Abel summable, but they do not converge.

$$\text{Let } C_n = (-1)^n \quad \sum_{n=1}^N C_n = \begin{cases} -1 & N \text{ is odd} \\ 0 & N \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

which does not converge.

The Abel means $A(r) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n r^n$ converges because

$$A(r) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n r^n = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^N (-1)^n r^n = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r((-r)^N - 1)}{r+1} = -\frac{r}{r+1}$$

when $0 \leq r < 1$

$$\text{Letting } r \rightarrow 1, \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} A(r) = -\frac{1}{2}$$

Therefore the series $\{(-1)^n\}$ is Abel summable but that does not converge

(c) There exists a series that is Abel summable but not Cesàro summable. We note that if $\sum C_n$ is Cesàro summable, then $C_n/n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In fact, let $S_N = \sum_{n=1}^N C_n$

$$\sigma_n = \frac{S_1 + S_2 + \dots + S_n}{n}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{n-1} &= \frac{S_1 + \dots + S_{n-1}}{n-1} \\ \Rightarrow \sigma_n - \sigma_{n-1} &= (S_1 + \dots + S_{n-1}) \left(\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n-1} \right) + \frac{S_n}{n} \\ &= -\frac{S_1 + \dots + S_{n-1}}{n(n-1)} + \frac{S_n}{n} \end{aligned}$$

This is because $\sigma_n, \sigma_{n-1} \rightarrow s$ for some s and thus $\sigma_n - \sigma_{n-1} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

Now $-\frac{S_1 + \dots + S_{n-1}}{n(n-1)} \rightarrow 0$ follows from the fact that $\frac{S_1 + \dots + S_{n-1}}{n-1} \rightarrow s$

and $\frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 0$. Then we get $\frac{S_n}{n} \rightarrow 0$. $\frac{S_n}{n} = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{C_k}{n} = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{C_k}{n} + \frac{C_n}{n}$

$$\frac{S_n}{n} = \frac{S_{n-1}}{n} + \frac{C_n}{n} \quad \text{Since } \frac{S_n}{n} \rightarrow 0 \text{ (} n \rightarrow \infty \text{), } \frac{S_{n-1}}{n-1} \rightarrow 0, S_{n-1} = o(n-1) = o(n)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{C_n}{n} = \frac{S_n}{n} - \frac{S_{n-1}}{n} \rightarrow 0 \text{ (} n \rightarrow \infty \text{)}$$

Now let $c_n = (-1)^{n-1} n$. $\left\{\frac{c_n}{n}\right\}$ fails to tend to zero because $\{(-1)^{n-1}\}$ is oscillating, therefore not Cesàro summable.

Consider the Abel sums $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n r^n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} n r^n$, the $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n$ is Abel summable when $0 \leq r < 1$, with $A(r) = \frac{r}{(1+r)^2}$ ($0 \leq r < 1$) and $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} A(r) = 0$.

Therefore $\{(-1)^{n-1} n\}$ is Abel summable but not Cesàro summable.

(c). We prove that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n r^n = (1-r)^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \sigma_n r^n$$

For the finite case N . $C_1 = S_1$, $C_n = S_n - S_{n-1}$ ($n \geq 2$)

$$\sigma_n = \frac{S_1 + \dots + S_n}{n} \quad S_1 = \sigma_1 \quad S_n = n\sigma_n - (n-1)\sigma_{n-1} \quad (n \geq 2)$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^N C_n r^n = \sum_{n=3}^N C_n r^n + C_1 r + C_2 r^2 = \sum_{n=3}^N C_n r^n + \sigma_1 r + (2\sigma_2 - \sigma_1) r^2$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^N C_n r^n = \sum_{n=3}^N [n\sigma_n - (n-1)\sigma_{n-1} + (n-2)\sigma_{n-2}] r^n + \sigma_1 r + (2\sigma_2 - \sigma_1) r^2$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^N n\sigma_n r^n + \sum_{n=2}^N -2(n-1)\sigma_{n-1} r^n + \sum_{n=3}^N (n-2)\sigma_{n-2} r^n$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^N n\sigma_n r^n - 2r \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} n\sigma_n r^n + r^2 \sum_{n=1}^{N-2} n\sigma_n r^n$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^N n\sigma_n r^n - \left(2r \sum_{n=1}^N n\sigma_n r^n \right) + 2r(N\sigma_N r^N)$$

$$+ \left(r^2 \sum_{n=1}^N n\sigma_n r^n \right) - r^2 \left((N-1)\sigma_{N-1} r^{N-1} + N\sigma_N r^N \right)$$

$$= (1-r)^2 \sum_{n=1}^N n\sigma_n r^n + \left[(2r - r^2) N\sigma_N r^N - r^2 (N-1)\sigma_{N-1} r^{N-1} \right]$$

Letting $N \rightarrow \infty$ $N\sigma_N r^N \rightarrow 0$ we find that.

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n r^n = (1-r)^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\sigma_n r^n$$

Suppose $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n$ is Cesàro summable to σ .

Without loss of generality we may assume $\sigma = 0$

Consider the finite sum $(1-r)^2 \sum_{n=1}^N n \sigma_n r^n$. Since $\sigma_n \rightarrow \sigma = 0$, there exists an integer k such that $\sigma_n \leq (1-r)^2$ when $n \geq k+1$

$$\sum_{n=1}^N n \sigma_n r^n \leq \sum_{n=1}^k n \sigma_n r^n + \sum_{n=k+1}^N n (1-r)^2 r^n$$

Let $A = \sum_{n=1}^k n \sigma_n r^n$ denotes the partial sum

$$\sum_{n=1}^N n \sigma_n r^n \leq A + (1-r)^2 \sum_{n=k+1}^N n r^n$$

Letting $N \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \sigma_n r^n \leq A + (1-r)^2 \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} n r^n$$

$$= A + r^{k+1} (k+1 - kr)$$

Letting $r \rightarrow 1$

$$(1-r) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \sigma_n r^n = (1-r) [A + r^{k+1} (k+1 - kr)]$$

$\rightarrow 0$

Therefore $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n$ is Abel summable.

convergent \Rightarrow Cesàro summable \Rightarrow Abel summable
and none of the arrows can be reversed.

(Theorem of Tauber) Under an additional condition on the coefficients c_n , the arrows in Exercise 13 can be reversed

(a) If $\sum c_n$ is Cesàro summable to σ and $c_n = o(\frac{1}{n})$ (that is $nc_n \rightarrow 0$), then $\sum c_n$ converges to σ .

(b) The above statement holds if we replace Cesàro summable by Abel summable.

Proof: (a) Let $S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k$ be the n -th partial sum of $\sum c_n$, and

$\sigma_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n S_k$ be the n -th Cesàro sum of $\sum c_n$ and

Then $S_n - \sigma_n = c_1 + \dots + c_n + \frac{nc_1 + \dots + c_n}{n}$ $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_n = \sigma$
(Cesàro summable to σ)

$$= \frac{1}{n} [(n-1)c_n + (n-2)c_{n-1} + \dots + c_2]$$

We assert that $S_n - \sigma_n = \frac{1}{n} [(n-1)c_n + (n-2)c_{n-1} + \dots + c_2] \rightarrow 0$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Since $nc_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n-1)c_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} nc_n \frac{n-1}{n} = 0$

Thus there exists an $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$, such that $|(k-1)c_k| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ whenever $k > N$.

$$\begin{aligned} |S_n - \sigma_n| &= \left| \frac{1}{n} [(n-1)c_n + \dots + (N+1)c_{N+1}] + \frac{1}{n} [(N+1)c_{N+1} + \dots + c_2] \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} (|(n-1)c_n| + \dots + |Nc_{N+1}|) + \left| \frac{1}{n} [(N-1)c_N + \dots + c_2] \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} (n-N) \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{M}{n}, \quad \text{where } M = |(N-1)c_N + \dots + c_2| \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Now $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n-N) \cdot \frac{1}{n} = 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M}{n} = 0$. There exists a sufficiently large N , $\frac{M}{n} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ whenever $n > N$. Thus

$$|S_n - \sigma_n| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon \quad \text{whenever } n > N \text{ and } S_n - \sigma_n = 0.$$

Therefore $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_n = \sigma$, i.e. $\sum c_n$ converges to σ .

(b) Suppose C_n is Abel summable. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an $N' = N'(\varepsilon)$ such that $n |C_n| < \varepsilon$ whenever $|n| > N'$.

Consider $r_n = 1 - \frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 1^-$

$$\begin{aligned} |S_n - Ar_n| &= \left| \sum_{j=1}^n c_j - \sum_{j=1}^n c_j r_n^j \right| \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n |c_j| (1 - r_n^j) \leq \sum_{j=1}^n |c_j| (1 - r_n^j) \end{aligned}$$

We require N' large enough such that $1 - r_n^j < \frac{\varepsilon}{j}$ whenever $n > N'$.

$$\begin{aligned} |S_n - Ar_n| &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{N'} |c_j| (1 - r_n^j) + \sum_{j=N'+1}^{\infty} r_n^j |c_j| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{N'} |c_j| (1 - r_n^j) + \left(\sum_{j=N'+1}^{\infty} \frac{r_n^j}{j} \right) \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

For the first term of the finite sum, observe that

$\{n|C_n|\}$ bounded, let $j|c_j| \leq M$ for a constant $M \geq 0$.

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N'} j|c_j| \frac{1 - r_n^j}{j} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{N'} M \frac{(1 - r_n^j)}{j} = M \sum_{j=1}^{N'} \frac{1 - r_n^j}{j}$$

$$\leq M \sum_{j=1}^{N'} 1 - r_n^j$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 1 - r_n^j = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{n} \right)^j \right] = 0$

then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^N 1 - r_n^j = 0$. By definition, there exists

an N'' such that $\left| \sum_{j=1}^N |c_j| (1 - r_n^j) \right| < \varepsilon$ whenever $n \geq N''$

For the second term, by the ratio test, $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{r_n^j}{j}$ converges, denote by K the infinite sum. Now take $N = \max\{N', N''\}$

then $|S_n - Ar_n| \leq (M + K) \varepsilon$. Therefore, S_n converges to the same limit of Ar_n .

The Weierstrass approximation theorem:

Let f be a continuous function on a closed and bounded interval $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a polynomial

$$P \text{ such that } \sup_{x \in [a, b]} |f(x) - P(x)| < \varepsilon$$

Proof. By Corollary 5.4 it is obvious that if we modify the condition $[-\pi, \pi]$ to any bounded interval $[x_1, x_2]$ where $g(x_1) = g(x_2)$, the conclusion holds for the trigonometric poly-

$$\text{nomial } P(x) = \sum_{n=-N}^N c_n e^{2\pi i n x / L} \text{ where } L = x_2 - x_1$$

That is, given any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a trigonometric polynomial $Q(x) = \sum_{k=-N}^N c_k e^{2\pi i k x / L}$ such that

$$|g(x) - \sum_{n=-N}^N c_n e^{2\pi i n x / L}| < \varepsilon / 2 \text{ for all } x_1 \leq x \leq x_2$$

Now observed the fact that e^{ix} can be approximated by polynomials uniformly on any interval. Since

$$e^{ix} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(ix)^k}{k!} \text{ for } x \in [x_1, x_2]$$

$$\text{and } c_n e^{\frac{2\pi i n x}{L}} = c_n e^{ix \cdot \frac{2\pi n}{L}} = c_n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(ix \cdot \frac{2\pi n}{L})^k}{k!} = c_n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\frac{2\pi i n}{L})^k}{k!} x^k$$

Therefore given any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a polynomial $Q_n(x)$

$$\text{such that } |c_n e^{\frac{2\pi i n x}{L}} - Q_n(x)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2N+1}$$

$$\text{therefore } |g(x) - \sum_{n=-N}^N P_n(x)| \leq |g(x) - \sum_{n=-N}^N c_n e^{2\pi i n x / L}| + \left| \sum_{n=-N}^N (c_n e^{2\pi i n x / L} - Q_n(x)) \right|$$

(Note that $\sum_{n=-N}^N Q_n(x)$ is a polynomial)

$$\leq \left| g(x) - \sum_{k=-N}^N c_k e^{2\pi i k x / L} \right| + \sum_{n=-N}^N |c_n e^{2\pi i n x / L} \cdot Q_n(x)|$$

$$< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } x \in [x_1, x_2]$$

For any closed and bounded interval $[a, b] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$,

choose $[x_1, x_2] \supseteq [a, b]$ by taking $x_1 \leq a < b \leq x_2$.

Then taking $f = g|_{[a, b]}$ and $P_n = Q_n|_{[a, b]}$

Thus the theorem is proved.