

Inner product

An inner product on a vector space V over \mathbb{C} associates to any pair X, Y of elements in V a complex number, denoted by $\langle X, Y \rangle$, satisfying the following conditions.

- (i) Positive definiteness: $\langle X, X \rangle \geq 0$ for all $X \in V$.
- (ii) Linearity in the first slot $\langle \alpha X + \beta Y, Z \rangle = \alpha \langle X, Z \rangle + \beta \langle Y, Z \rangle$
- (iii) Conjugate symmetry $\langle X, Y \rangle = \overline{\langle Y, X \rangle}$

Given an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ we may define the norm of X

$$\|X\| = \sqrt{\langle X, X \rangle}$$

If in addition $\|X\| = 0$ implies $X = 0$, we say the inner product is positive-definite.

Two elements X and Y are orthogonal if $\langle X, Y \rangle = 0$, and we write $X \perp Y$.

Properties:

- (i) The Pythagorean theorem: if X and Y are orthogonal, then $\|X+Y\|^2 = \|X\|^2 + \|Y\|^2$.

(ii) The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality: for any $X, Y \in V$, we have $\|\langle X, Y \rangle\| \leq \|X\| \cdot \|Y\|$.

(iii) The triangle inequality: for any $X, Y \in V$

$$\|X+Y\| \leq \|X\| + \|Y\|$$

Proof:

- (i) $\|X+Y\|^2 = \langle X+Y, X+Y \rangle = \langle X, X \rangle + \langle X, Y \rangle + \langle Y, X \rangle + \langle Y, Y \rangle$

$$\text{Since } \langle X, Y \rangle = 0, \|X+Y\|^2 = \|X\|^2 + \|Y\|^2.$$

- (ii) If $\|Y\|=0$, we show that $\langle X, Y \rangle = 0$. Indeed, for all real t ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|X+tY\|^2 &= \langle X+tY, X+tY \rangle = \|X\|^2 + \langle X, tY \rangle + \langle tY, X \rangle + \|tY\|^2 \\ &= \|X\|^2 + 2t \operatorname{Re}\langle X, Y \rangle \end{aligned}$$

If $\operatorname{Re}\langle X, Y \rangle \neq 0$, we take t to be large positive or large negative such that $\|X\|^2 + t^2 \operatorname{Re}\langle X, Y \rangle < 0$, which leads to a contradiction. Similarly, by considering $\|X + itY\|$, we find that $\operatorname{Im}\langle X, Y \rangle = 0$. Thus $\langle X, Y \rangle = 0$.

If $\|Y\| \neq 0$, set $c = \langle X, Y \rangle / \|Y\|^2$ then $X - cY$ is orthogonal to Y , and therefore also cY . Write $X = X - cY + cY$, and apply Pythagorean theorem,

$$\|X\|^2 = \|X - cY\|^2 + \|cY\|^2 \geq |c|^2 \|Y\|^2.$$

Taking square roots on both sides,

$$\|X\| \geq \langle X, Y \rangle / \|Y\|^2 \cdot \|Y\|.$$

and $|\langle X, Y \rangle| \leq \|X\| \|Y\|$.

Note that we have equality in the above precisely when $X = cY$

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{iii}) \quad \|X + Y\|^2 &= \langle X, X \rangle + \langle X, Y \rangle + \langle Y, X \rangle + \langle Y, Y \rangle \\ &= \|X\|^2 + \|Y\|^2 + \langle X, Y \rangle + \langle Y, X \rangle \end{aligned}$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality

$$|\langle X, Y \rangle + \langle Y, X \rangle| \leq |\langle X, Y \rangle| + |\langle Y, X \rangle| \leq 2\|X\| \|Y\|$$

Then $\|X + Y\|^2 \leq \|X\|^2 + 2\|X\| \|Y\| + \|Y\|^2 = (\|X\| + \|Y\|)^2$

Hilbert space: An inner product space with the following two properties is called a Hilbert space:

(i) The inner product is strictly positive definite that is

$$\|X\| = 0 \text{ implies } X = 0$$

(ii) The vector space is complete, which by definition means that every Cauchy sequence in the norm converges to a limit

in the vector space. If either of the conditions fail, the space is called a pre-Hilbert space.

Example: for a pre-Hilbert space that (i) and (ii) fail.

Let R denote the set of complex-valued Riemann integrable functions on $[0, 2\pi]$. This is a vector space over \mathbb{C} .

Define the inner product by

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(\theta) \overline{g(\theta)} d\theta$$

Check Cauchy-Schwarz inequality: $|\langle f, g \rangle| \leq \|f\| \|g\|$.

Set $A = \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} |f(\theta)|$, $B = \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} |g(\theta)|$. By the inequality

$2AB \leq A^2 + B^2$, for any two real numbers A and B

$$2 |f(\theta)| |g(\theta)| \leq \lambda |f(\theta)|^2 + \lambda^{-1} |g(\theta)|^2$$

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle f, g \rangle| &= \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(\theta) \overline{g(\theta)} d\theta \right| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(\theta)| |\overline{g(\theta)}| d\theta \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} (\lambda \|f\|^2 + \lambda^{-1} \|g\|^2) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Put } \lambda = \frac{\|g\|}{\|f\|}$$

$$|\langle f, g \rangle| \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\|g\|}{\|f\|} \|f\|^2 + \frac{\|f\|}{\|g\|} \|g\|^2 \right) = \|f\| \|g\|$$

(i) $\|f\|=0$ implies only f vanishes at its point of continuity. Therefore if we modify the value of f on a set of "measure zero" on $[0, 2\pi]$, the f can be not identically zero.

(ii) R is not complete. The function $f(\theta) = \begin{cases} 0 & \theta = 0 \\ \log \frac{1}{\theta} & 0 < \theta \leq 2\pi \end{cases}$
 f is not bounded and thus f does not belong to R .

The sequence of truncations f_n defined by

$$f_n(\theta) = \begin{cases} 0 & 0 < \theta < \frac{1}{n} \\ f(\theta) & \frac{1}{n} \leq \theta < 2n \end{cases}$$

$f_n(\theta)$ is a Cauchy sequence in \mathbb{R} . However the limit of $\{f_n(\theta)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, if existed, would have to be f , which is not belong to \mathbb{R} (Exercise 5)

Theorem (Mean-square convergence)

Suppose f is integrable on the circle. Then

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(\theta) - S_N(f)(\theta)|^2 d\theta \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

Sketch of proof: Define $\langle f, g \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(\theta) \overline{g(\theta)} d\theta$.

which is an inner product. $e_n(\theta) = e^{inx}$, $\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is an orthonormal basis.

$$a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(\theta) e^{-inx} d\theta = \langle f, e_n \rangle$$

$$S_N(f) = \sum_{n=-N}^N a_n e_n = \sum_{n=-N}^N \langle f, e_n \rangle e_n$$

Observation: $(f - S_N(f)) \perp e_m$, $m = -N, \dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots, N$

Because

$$\langle f - S_N(f), e_m \rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \langle f - \sum_{n=-N}^N \langle f, e_n \rangle e_n, e_m \rangle \\ &= \langle f, e_m \rangle - \langle \sum_{n=-N}^N \langle f, e_n \rangle e_n, e_m \rangle \\ &= \langle f, e_m \rangle - \langle \langle f, e_m \rangle e_m, e_m \rangle \\ &= \langle f, e_m \rangle - \langle f, e_m \rangle = 0. \end{aligned}$$

By linearity, for any $c_n \in \mathbb{C}$, $n = -N, \dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots, N$,

$$(f - S_N(f)) \perp \sum_{n=-N}^N c_n e_n$$

We use the best approximation lemma.

Lemma (Best Approximation) If f is integrable on the circle with Fourier coefficients a_n , then

$$\|f - S_N(f)\| \leq \|f - \sum_{|n| \leq N} a_n e_n\|$$

for any complex numbers c_n . Moreover, equality holds precisely when $c_n = a_n$, for all $|n| \leq N$.

Write

$$f - \sum_{n=-N}^N c_n e_n = f - S_N(f) + \sum_{n=-N}^N b_n e_n$$

$$b_n = a_n - c_n.$$

Take the norm and applying Pythagorean theorem

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - \sum_{n=-N}^N c_n e_n\| &= \|f - S_N(f)\| + \left\| \sum_{n=-N}^N b_n e_n \right\| \\ &\geq \|f - S_N(f)\| \end{aligned}$$

Suppose f is continuous on the circle, by Corollary 5.4 in Chapter 2, there exists a trigonometric polynomial P of degree M such that $|f(\theta) - P(\theta)| < \varepsilon$. Then

$$\|f - P\| = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(\theta) - P(\theta)|^2 d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = (\varepsilon^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \varepsilon.$$

by the best approximation lemma, $\|f - S_N(f)\| < \varepsilon$ whenever $N \geq M$.

If f is merely integrable, using Lemma 3.2 in Chapter 2 we choose a continuous function g such that

$$\sup_{\theta \in [0, 2\pi]} |g(\theta)| \leq \sup_{\theta \in [0, 2\pi]} |f(\theta)| := B.$$

and

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |f(\theta) - g(\theta)|^2 d\theta < \varepsilon^2.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \|f - g\|^2 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(\theta) - g(\theta)|^2 d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(\theta) - g(\theta)| |f(\theta) - g(\theta)| d\theta \\ &\leq \frac{2B}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(\theta) - g(\theta)| d\theta \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq C\varepsilon^2 \quad \text{where we take } C = B/\pi.$$

Now we approximate g by a trigonometric polynomial P so that $\|g-P\| < \varepsilon$. Then $\|f-P\| < C'\varepsilon$ and we may again conclude by applying the best approximation lemma. This completes the proof of the partial sums of f converge to f in the mean square sense.

Parseval's Identity

Let $a_n = \hat{f}(n)$ be the n -th Fourier coefficient of an integrable function f , then the series $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |a_n|^2$ converges and $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |a_n| = \|f\|^2$.

Proof: Write $f = f - S_N(f) + S_N(f)$, $S_N(f) = \sum_{n=-N}^N a_n e_n$

By the observation above

$$(f - S_N(f)) \perp S_N(f)$$

Then by Pythagorean theorem

$$\|f\| = \|f - S_N(f)\| + \|S_N(f)\|$$

and $\|S_N(f)\| = \sqrt{\sum_{n=-N}^N |a_n|^2}$ again by the orthogonality of $\{e_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$

Now letting $N \rightarrow \infty$, by the mean square convergence theorem,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \|f - S_N(f)\| = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \|S_N(f)\| = \sqrt{\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |a_n|^2}$$

Then we obtain the identity

$$\|f\| = \sqrt{\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |a_n|^2}$$

Remark 1 If $\{e_n\}$ is any orthonormal family of functions on the circle, and $a_n = \langle f, e_n \rangle$, then we have the Bessel's inequality

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 \leq \|f\|^2.$$

This is obtained by the relation

$$\|f\|^2 = \|f - S_N(f)\|^2 + \sum_{n=-N}^N |a_n|^2.$$

and the equality holds (Parseval's identity) when

$$\|f - S_N(f)\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty,$$

in this sense we say that $\{e_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ is also a "basis".

Remark 2 There exists sequences $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ such that

$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |a_n| < \infty$, yet no Riemann-integrable function

F has n -th Fourier coefficient equal to a_n for all n . (Exercise 6)

Theorem (Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma)

If f is integrable on the circle, then $\hat{f}(n) \rightarrow 0$ as $|n| \rightarrow \infty$.

This is immediately from the Parseval's identity.

Since $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 = \|f\|^2$ converges, then $|a_n|^2 \rightarrow 0$

as $|n| \rightarrow \infty$ and hence $\hat{f}(n) = a_n \rightarrow 0$.

$$\text{By writing } a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(\theta) e^{-in\theta} d\theta$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(\theta) (\cos n\theta - i \sin n\theta) d\theta$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[\left(\int_0^{2\pi} f(\theta) \cos n\theta d\theta \right) + i \left(\int_0^{2\pi} f(\theta) \sin n\theta d\theta \right) \right]$$

Then we obtain an equivalent description:

$$\int_0^{2\pi} f(\theta) \cos(n\theta) d\theta \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and}$$

$$\int_0^{2\pi} f(\theta) \sin(n\theta) d\theta \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

Generalization of Parseval's identity

Lemma. Suppose F and G are integrable on the circle with

$$F \sim \sum a_n e^{in\theta} \quad \text{and} \quad G \sim \sum b_n e^{in\theta}$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} F(\theta) \overline{G(\theta)} d\theta = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n \bar{b}_n$$

Proof: We claim the identity that

$$\langle F, G \rangle = \frac{1}{4} [\|F+G\|^2 - \|F-G\|^2 + i(\|F+iG\|^2 - \|F-iG\|^2)]$$

By Parseval's identity and the claim,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} F(\theta) \overline{G(\theta)} d\theta &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} [|a_n + b_n|^2 - |a_n - b_n|^2 + i(|a_n + ib_n|^2 - \\ &\quad |a_n - ib_n|^2)] \\ &= |a_n + b_n|^2 - |a_n - b_n|^2 + i(|a_n + ib_n|^2 - |a_n - ib_n|^2) \\ &= (a_n + b_n)(\bar{a}_n + \bar{b}_n) - (a_n - b_n)(\bar{a}_n - \bar{b}_n) + i((a_n + ib_n)(\bar{a}_n + i\bar{b}_n) \\ &\quad - (a_n - ib_n)(\bar{a}_n - i\bar{b}_n)) \\ &= |a_n|^2 + a_n \bar{b}_n + \bar{a}_n b_n + |b_n|^2 - (|a_n|^2 - a_n \bar{b}_n - \bar{a}_n b_n + |b_n|^2) \\ &\quad + i(|a_n|^2 + a_n \bar{i}b_n + \bar{a}_n i\bar{b}_n + i|b_n|^2 - (|a_n|^2 - a_n \bar{i}b_n - \bar{a}_n i\bar{b}_n \\ &\quad + i|b_n|^2)) \\ &= 2a_n \bar{b}_n + 2\bar{a}_n b_n + i(-a_n \bar{i}b_n + \bar{a}_n i\bar{b}_n - a_n \bar{i}\bar{b}_n + \bar{a}_n i\bar{b}_n) \\ &= 2a_n \bar{b}_n + 2\bar{a}_n b_n + a_n \bar{b}_n - \bar{a}_n b_n + a_n \bar{b}_n - \bar{a}_n b_n \\ &= 4a_n \bar{b}_n \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Therefore } \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} F(\theta) \overline{G(\theta)} d\theta = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n \bar{b}_n$$

The claim is verified directly

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{RHS} &= \frac{1}{4} [\langle F+G, F+G \rangle - \langle F-G, F-G \rangle + i(\langle F+iG, F+iG \rangle \\
 &\quad - \langle F-iG, F-iG \rangle)] \\
 &= \frac{1}{4} [\langle F, F \rangle + \langle F, G \rangle + \langle G, F \rangle + \langle G, G \rangle \\
 &\quad - (\langle F, F \rangle - \langle F, G \rangle - \langle G, F \rangle + \langle G, G \rangle) \\
 &\quad + i((\langle F, F \rangle - i\langle F, G \rangle + i\langle G, F \rangle + \langle G, G \rangle) \\
 &\quad - (\langle F, F \rangle + i\langle F, G \rangle - i\langle G, F \rangle + \langle G, G \rangle))] \\
 &= \frac{1}{4} [\langle F, G \rangle + \langle G, F \rangle + \langle F, G \rangle + \langle G, F \rangle + i(-i\langle F, G \rangle + i\langle G, F \rangle \\
 &\quad - i\langle F, G \rangle + i\langle G, F \rangle)] \\
 &= \frac{1}{4} [\langle F, G \rangle + \langle G, F \rangle + \langle F, G \rangle + \langle G, F \rangle + \langle F, G \rangle - \langle G, F \rangle \\
 &\quad + \langle F, G \rangle - \langle G, F \rangle] \\
 &= \frac{1}{4} \cdot 4 \langle F, G \rangle \\
 &= \langle F, G \rangle = \text{LHS}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then the proof is completed.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Remark: } \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |a_n \bar{b}_n| &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |a_n| |b_n| \leq \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} (|a_n|^2 + |b_n|^2) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 + \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |b_n|^2 \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |a_n|^2$ and $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |b_n|^2$ converge absolutely by

Parseval's identity, we conclude that $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n \bar{b}_n$ converges also absolutely.